# **The photomediated reaction of alkynes with cycloalkanes†**

Roisin A. Doohan, John J. Hannan and Niall W. A. Geraghty\*

*Received 13th December 2005, Accepted 20th January 2006 First published as an Advance Article on the web 6th February 2006* **DOI: 10.1039/b517631j**

In the presence of a photomediator such as benzophenone, alkynes with electron-withdrawing groups react with cycloalkanes to give vinyl cycloalkanes. The reaction involves the regiospecific addition of a photochemically generated cycloalkyl radical to the  $\beta$ -carbon of the alkyne. The stereochemical outcome of the reaction depends on the nature of the photomediator and alkyne used.

# **Introduction**

The introduction of a functional group at an unactivated carbon atom, such as those of an alkane or an ether, or the involvement of such an atom in carbon–carbon bond formation, are synthetic problems of obvious importance and considerable difficulty.**<sup>1</sup>** These problems have been addressed in a variety of ways, many of which involve the generation of an alkyl radical from an appropriate precursor and its subsequent reaction with the precursor of the group that is to be introduced. This indirect generation of the alkyl radical can be achieved in a wide variety of ways, including the reaction of alkyl halides with tributyltin hydride**<sup>2</sup>** or tris(trimethyl)silane,**<sup>3</sup>** the photolysis of nitrites**<sup>4</sup>** or alkylcobalt compounds,**<sup>5</sup>** the thermal decomposition of thiohydroxamic esters,**<sup>6</sup>** metal catalysis,**<sup>7</sup>** *etc.* Most of these methods are however limited by the fact that they involve toxic reagents or require the synthesis of the appropriate radical precursor. The direct generation of alkyl radicals by the homolytic cleavage of a C–H bond has been used less frequently and generally involves peroxides or photomediation.**<sup>8</sup>** Once generated, these radicals can participate in carbon–carbon bond forming reactions, producing a variety of functionalised systems. Vinyl and allyl groups can, for example, be introduced in this way by an addition– elimination sequence involving the radical and the appropriate stannane,**9,10** sulfide or related derivatives.**11,12** A chain transfer reaction involving triflones**<sup>13</sup>** has been used to carry out the alkenylation, alknylation and allylation of alkane- and etherderived radicals. The reaction of these and related radicals with 2-chloroethylsulfonyl oxime ethers**<sup>14</sup>** results in the introduction of an oxime ether group, hydrolysis of which gives acylated derivatives. Once again, an evaluation of a particular process has to include a consideration of the nature of the reagents required, the by-products produced – for example, the use of triflones**<sup>13</sup>** and chloroethylsulfonyl oxime ethers<sup>14</sup> results in the formation of  $SO_2$  – and the problems associated with the synthesis of any reactant.

Although often beset with problems of control and efficiency, the potential of photochemically initiated processes for the production of radicals is well established. In terms of unactivated systems, cyclopentane for example has been functionalised using a variety of photochemical methods,**<sup>15</sup>** all of which involve the generation of a cyclopentyl radical by homolysis of a C–H bond. Indeed, in the context of the discussion above, it was found that the reactions of triflones**<sup>13</sup>** and 2-chloroethylsulfonyl oxime ethers**<sup>14</sup>** were amenable to photoinitiation as well as initiation using an AIBN-related compound. In experimental terms the photoinduced hydrogen abstraction reactions of ketones are particularly attractive as a method of producing alkyl radicals, the process relying on the alkoxy radical character of carbonyl groups in the ( $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ ) triplet state.<sup>16</sup> Thus, following absorption of a photon and intersystem crossing, ketones such as benzophenone are able to abstract a hydrogen atom from a substrate containing an appropriate C–H bond. The resulting alkyl radicals can react in a variety of ways including recombination, disproportionation and further hydrogen abstraction. Most usefully and because of their nucleophilic properties,**<sup>17</sup>** they would be expected to react with molecules containing electron deficient carbon–carbon multiple bonds (Scheme 1). This approach has been used in the reaction of enones with 1,3-dioxolanes,**<sup>18</sup>** the reaction of enals with dioxolanes and alcohols,**<sup>19</sup>** and the functionalisation of cycloalkanes with alkenyl nitriles**<sup>20</sup>** and ketene dithioacetal *S*,*S*dioxides.**<sup>21</sup>** The mechanistic framework for the process suggests that the use of "catalytic" quantities of the carbonyl compound functioning as the photomediator should be sufficient. However, in practice there are many ways in which this molecule can be diverted from performing the required role and so larger amounts are in general required.



*Department of Chemistry, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland. E-mail: niall.geraghty@nuigalway.ie; Fax: +00 353 91 525700; Tel: +00 353 91 524411*

<sup>†</sup> Photomediated functionalisation and carbon–carbon bond formation in unactivated molecules. Part 1.

This paper describes the photochemical reaction of alkynes with cycloalkanes such as cyclopentane (Scheme 2). Reactions of this type were first reported in 1969 by Buchi, and independently by Grovenstein, who noted that the irradiation of a cyclohexane solution of ethyl propiolate**<sup>22</sup>** or dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate**<sup>23</sup>** gave very small amounts of products resulting from alkyne insertion into a C–H bond of the cycloalkane. In view of the reaction times and yields involved  $-24$  h and  $5\%$ , and 14 days and  $10\%$ , respectively – it is not surprising that the system has not been revisited for over 30 years. The analogous reaction of saturated ethers with DMAD has also been noted,**24,25** with yields of up to 80% being reported for THF. Although the acetone initiation of the addition of DMAD to cyclic ethers was reported,**<sup>24</sup>** all of the other reactions of ethers and cycloalkanes involved direct irradiation. The possible involvement of an anion– cation radical pair as an intermediate in the reactions**<sup>25</sup>** of ethers under such conditions was also considered, but a radical chain mechanism initiated by unidentified agents was in general the preferred mechanistic framework.





We have found that the use of a photomediator such as benzophenone has a significant impact on the reaction of alkynes with cycloalkanes in terms of yield and reaction time, and here we report results relating to cycloalkanes of various sizes and to alkynes carrying a wide variety of functional groups. The results are important in that they relate to functionalisation and carbon– carbon bond formation in an unactivated system, and also because they suggest that photoinduced hydrogen abstraction in certain circumstances may be a synthetically useful method of generating radicals.**20,21**

# **Results and discussion**

Initial experiments were carried out with cyclopentane and involved irradiating a solution of the alkyne **1** (0.15 M) and photomediator (1 equiv.) in the cycloalkanes, through Pyrex glass and using 350 nm lamps, until no alkyne remained (GC) (Scheme 2). Evaporation of the cyclopentane and removal of the photomediator by chromatography gave a substituted alkene

**Table 1** The benzophenone-mediated reaction of alkynes with cyclopentane

Alkyne 1	Time <sup>a</sup> /h	Products (yield, $\%$ )		$E/Z^b$			
1a	2.75	2a(37)	3a(22)	1.5:1			
1b	2.5	2b(40)	3b(30)	1.5:1			
1d	3.2	2d(42)	3d $(27)$	1.5:1			
1f	3.3	$2f(30)^c$	3f(20)	1.5:1 <sup>d</sup>			
1g	4.5	$2g(34)^c$	3g(15)	1.6:1			
1h	6.5	2h(40)	3h(25)	1.4:1			
1i	4.5	2i(35)	3i(17)	1.5:1			
1j	7.5	2j(34)	3i(29)	1.4:1			
1k	2.0	$2k^e$	3k(27)	1.7:1			
11	4.5	21(25)	31(22)	1.8:1			
1m	3.0	Mixture of 2m, 3m, 2o and 3o $(46:35:17:1)^e$					
1n	1.3	2n <sup>e</sup>	3n(16)	1:1.5			
10	1.5	$2\sigma(24)$	$3\sigma^e$	1.8:1			
1p	3.0	Mixture of 2p and 3p $(56\%)^e$		6.4:1			
1q	11.5	2q(18)	$3q^e$	3.9:1			
1r–x	No reaction						
1y	2.5	2y(50)	$3y^e$	1:45			
1z	3.0	2z(43)	$3z^e$	1:42			
1aa	1.5	2aa(46)	3aa(3)	1:30			
1bb	3.15(22)	<b>2bb</b> $(21)$	3bb(7)	1:12(1:4)			

*<sup>a</sup>* Complete reaction of alkyne (GC). *<sup>b</sup>* GC. *<sup>c</sup>* Product contains some benzophenone. *<sup>d</sup>* <sup>1</sup> H NMR. *<sup>e</sup>* Product could not be satisfactorily isolated. *<sup>f</sup>* Results obtained using acetophenone as photomediator. Products coeluted from SiO<sub>2</sub> with benzophenone.

as a mixture of *E* and *Z* isomers (Table 1). The reaction of methyl propiolate **1a** in the presence of benzophenone is typical of those involving monosubstituted alkynes. This gave a 1.6 : 1 (GC) mixture of methyl (*E*)- and (*Z*)-3-cyclopentyl-2-propenoate, **2a** and **3a**, which following chromatography were obtained in isolated yields of 32 and 36%, respectively (Table 1). The reaction is regiospecific, with the structures of the products being consistent with the concept that the reaction involves the conjugate addition of a nucleophilic cycloalkyl radical to the electron-deficient alkyne.

The effectiveness of a range of potential photomediators in promoting the reaction was investigated and it was found that the reaction time and yield, and the product composition, were relatively insensitive to the structure of the photomediator used (Table 2). Exceptions to this pattern were 2-acetylnaphthalene, the lowest triplet state of which is of the  $\pi,\pi^*$  type, and 4methoxyacetophenone, the behaviour of which can again be explained on the basis that most molecules on excitation end up in the  $\pi,\pi^*$  state.



A series of experiments involving benzophenone showed that the photomediator concentration could be reduced by a factor of six without affecting the reaction time or the yield (Table 3). At lower concentrations the time taken to complete the reaction increased dramatically. The only other low MW products formed in these reactions were cyclopentenone and cyclopentanol (GC– MS), the result of reaction between the cyclopentyl radicals and  $O<sub>2</sub>$  that had survived the degassing which preceded irradiation. There is a strong correlation between reaction time and the

**Table 2** A comparison of the reaction of methyl propiolate **1a** with cyclopentane using different photomediators*<sup>a</sup>*

Photomediator	Time <sup>b</sup> /h	Yield <sup><math>c</math></sup> (%)	Z/E	$ROH/R, C=Od(\%)$
Ph, CO	2.75	86	1:1.46	1.5/1
PhCOMe	5.00	86	1:1.26	0.7/1
(2-Naphthyl)COMe	No reaction			
$4-MeOC6H4COMe$	106(86 <sup>e</sup> )	70	1:1.44	5/4
$4-F_3CC_6H_4COMe$	1.75	97	1:1.30	1.3/0.6
PhCHO	1.25	84	1:1.32	0.4/0.2
$4-MeOC6H4COPh$	3.50	92	1:1.33	4/2
$(3-F_3CC_6H_4)$ , CO	2.00	96	1:1.34	2/1
$(4-MeOC6H4)$ , CO	5.00	88	1:1.27	3/1

*<sup>a</sup>* Cyclopentane solution of the alkyne (0.15 M) containing photomediator (1 equiv.), 350 nm. *<sup>b</sup>* Complete consumption (GC) of alkyne. *<sup>c</sup>* GC, decane as IS. <sup>*d*</sup> ROH: cyclopentanol, R<sub>2</sub>C=O: cyclopentanone. *<sup>e</sup>* Duplicate experiment: 79% of the alkyne had reacted after 86 h.





*<sup>a</sup>* Cyclopentane solution of the alkyne (0.15 M) and photomediator, 350 nm. *<sup>b</sup>* Complete consumption (GC) of alkyne. *<sup>c</sup>* GC, decane as IS. *<sup>d</sup>* ROH: cyclopentanol, R<sub>2</sub>C=O: cyclopentanone. *<sup>e</sup>* 95% conversion of the alkyne had occurred after 5 h.

amount of these materials present in the product mixture (Tables 2 and 3). Interestingly, the normally efficient photoreduction of benzophenone forming benzpinacol is not competitive with the alkyne/cycloalkane reaction and the diol is formed in only very small quantities, if at all. The stereochemistry of the products was assigned on the basis of the <sup>1</sup> H NMR coupling constants, 15.6 and 11.5 Hz, displayed by the a-H in **2a** and **3a**, respectively. In common with all the reactions involving monosubstituted alkynes, the secondary *cis*–*trans* photoisomerisation that is a feature of the reactions of acetylenedicarboxylates (see below) does not occur here. In this context it is worth noting that the rate of inversion of vinyl radicals is much faster than the final hydrogen abstraction step,**<sup>26</sup>** and so the geometry of the product is not determined by the stereochemistry of the addition of the cycloalkyl radical to the alkyne (Scheme 1).

A GC analysis of the crude product indicated that the alkenes **2** and **3** were the only alkyne-derived low molecular weight products formed in the reaction. It is assumed that the mass balance for the reaction in terms of the alkyne is completed by the formation of polyacetylene oligomers which are not detected by GC and which are lost during chromatography. Compounds of this type have been identified among the products formed in reactions of propiolonitrile **1n** and cycloalkanes that involved higher concentrations of the alkyne and longer reaction times than normal. Thus the dimeric species **4** was identified as a minor product from the reaction of cyclopentane with **1n** using GC–MS on the basis of its molecular ion and fragmentation pattern. The compounds **5** and **6** were isolated from the corresponding reaction of cyclohexane with **1n** and characterised spectroscopically.

The cyclopentyl radicals display chemoselectively to the extent that they react exclusively with the alkyne in propiolate esters containing benzyl (**1f**), ether (**1g**), alkenyl (**1i** and **1j**), haloalkyl (**1k** and **1l**) and trimethylsilyl (**1m**) groups (Table 1). The same

selectivity is displayed by alkynes containing other electronwithdrawing groups such as a nitrile (**1n**), an acid (**1o**), or a sulfone (**1p**). However, the crude product obtained from the reaction of cyclopentane with 3-butyn-2-one **1q** is more complex (GC) and the one product successfully isolated, the *E* isomer **2q**, was obtained in only 18% yield. The failure of **1r** and **1s** to react underlines the fact an electron-withdrawing group (EWG) on the alkyne is an essential pre-requisite for reaction with cycloalkyl radicals. In the same way, the failure of **1t** to react presumably reflects the fact that the influence of the nitro group is excessively attenuated by the linking aromatic ring. The result helps to identify the level of elecron-withdrawal that is required for these alkyne–cycloalkyl radical reactions. Although the lack of an EWG may obviously be responsible for the inertness of **1u** and **1v**, steric factors may also play a role in these reactions, as the disubstituted alkynes **1w** and **1x** also fail to react despite the presence in both of an EWG.

Cyclopentane reacts with acetylenedicarboxylates **1y–bb** (Scheme 2) (Table 1) in a parallel but somewhat less efficient manner, forming dialkyl 2-cyclopentyl-2-butenedioates. The stereochemistry of the adducts was assigned on the basis that the olefinic and cycloalkyl methine hydrogens of the *E* isomers **3y–** bb, which are *cis* to ester groups, appear at lower field in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum relative to those of the *Z* isomers **2y–bb**. The reactions of acetylenedicarboxylates with cycloalkanes are highly stereoselective, with the *Z* isomers predominating as a result of a secondary photosensitised isomerisation of the initially formed alkenes. As would be expected, the product ratio in this case is photomediator dependent. Thus, whereas the benzophenonepromoted reaction of DMAD **1y** with cyclohexane gave a *Z*/*E* ratio of 19 : 1 for **7y**/**8y**, the use of acetophenone, which has a higher triplet energy, resulted in a 4 : 1 mixture of **7y** and **8y**, as in this case both isomers are photoactive in terms of the photosensitised isomerisation process; a 2.5 : 1 ratio was obtained

**Table 4** The photomediated reaction of alkynes with cyclohexane, cycloheptane and cyclooctane*<sup>a</sup>*

Cycloalkane	Alkyne	Time <sup>b</sup> /h	Products (isolated yield, $\%$ )		E/Z <sup>c</sup> 1.5:1
Cyclohexane	1a	4.5	7a(19)	8a(15)	
Cyclohexane	1 <sub>b</sub>	4.5	7b(26)	8b(17)	1.5:1
Cyclohexane	1c	8.5	$7c/8c$ $(27)^d$		1.4:1
Cyclohexane	1e	5.5	7e/8e(37) <sup>d</sup>		1.5:1
Cyclohexane	1 <sup>k</sup>	2.0	<b>7k</b> $(26)^e$	8k(14)	1.5:1
Cyclohexane	11	4.0	71(18)	81(15)	1.5:1
Cyclohexane	1n	2.0	7n(11)	$8n(15)^f$	1:1.5
Cyclohexane	1 <sub>0</sub>	2.5	$70/80$ $(28)^d$		2.5:1
Cyclohexane	1 <sub>p</sub>	5.5	$7p/8p(30)^d$		6.8:1
Cyclohexane	1y	13.5	7y(31)		1:19 <sup>g</sup>
$C$ yclohexane $h$	1y	20.0	7y(12)	8y(3)	$1:4^i$
Cyclohexane	1 <sub>y</sub>	6.5	$7y(17)^c$	$8y(7)^c$	$1:2.5^{8}$
Cycloheptane	1a	2.5	9a(21)	10a $(17)$	1.3:1
Cycloheptane	1 <sub>b</sub>	2.6	9b(20)	10 $\bf{b}$ (20)	1.3:1
Cycloheptane	<b>1d</b>	2.7	9d(20)	10d $(16)$	1.4:1
Cycloheptane	1n	1.5	<b>9n</b> $(26)^e$	10n $(37)^e$	1:2
Cycloheptane	1p	3.0	$9p/10p$ $(17)^{d,k}$		5.4:1
Cycloheptane	1y	No reaction			
Cyclooctane	1a	3.0	11a(18)	12a $(24)$	1.4:1
Cyclooctane	1 <sub>b</sub>	3.5	11 $b(24)$	12 $\bf{b}$ (22)	1.3:1
Cyclooctane	1n	1.0	11n(9)	12n $(23)^t$	1:2.4
Cyclooctane	1 <sub>p</sub>	1.5	$9p/10p$ $(47)^d$		4.6:1
Cyclooctane	1y	No reaction			

*<sup>a</sup>* Photomediator: benzophenone. *<sup>b</sup>* Complete reaction of alkyne (GC). *<sup>c</sup>* GC. *<sup>d</sup>* Chromatography gave a mixture of stereoisomers. *<sup>e</sup>* Contains a trace of benzophenone. <sup>*f*</sup> Separated on AgNO<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. *g* Small amounts of cyclohexanol and cyclohexanone were also formed. *h* Photomediator: acetophenone. *<sup>i</sup>* Isolated product. *<sup>j</sup>* Photomediator: benzaldehyde. *<sup>k</sup>* Traces of benzpinacol were also formed. *<sup>l</sup>* Contains a trace of **11n**.



with benzaldehyde (Scheme 3, Table 4). The significantly reduced stereoselectivity observed with di-*t*-butyl acetylenedicarboxylate **1bb** in the presence of benzophenone (Table 1) presumably reflects a steric preference for the *E* isomer. The use of acetophenone in this case again results in a further reduction of stereoselectivity.

The effect of cycloalkane ring size on the outcome of the reaction was considered in a series of experiments involving  $C_5-C_8$ cycloalkanes. Reaction occurred in all cases with monosubstituted alkynes. Cyclopentane, giving overall isolated yields of up to 70% (Scheme 2, Table 1), was significantly more reactive than the  $C_6$ –  $C_8$  cycloalkanes, which gave yields varying in a non-systematic way between 17 and 47% (Scheme 3, Table 4). The pattern of reactivity observed for the reaction of the cycloalkanes with DMAD **1y** was somewhat different, for although cyclopentane again gave significantly higher yields than cyclohexane, the larger ring systems did not react at all (Table 4). The observation that cyclopentane is more reactive than cyclohexane in reactions involving their respective radicals has also been made in relation to the bromination of these systems, where the former reacts 7–8 times faster.**<sup>27</sup>** The difference in reactivity in this case was attributed to the larger amount of eclipsing strain relief that occurs when a cyclopentyl radical is formed, essentially an I-strain**<sup>28</sup>** effect. Although this would account for the relative reactivity of cyclohexane and cyclopentane, it does not provide a basis for understanding the behaviour of the other cycloalkanes. A rationale based on the steric accessibility of the hydrogen atoms in the cycloalkanes has some merit. It is reasonable to suggest that the hydrogen atoms in cyclopentane are less sterically hindered because of its smaller bond angle. The larger bond angles in the other cycloalkanes would lead to any particular hydrogen in these systems being subject to greater steric hindrance by the hydrogens on adjacent carbons. This would result in reduced reactivity for  $C_6-C_8$  with the propiolates, and a complete lack of reactivity for  $C_7$  and  $C_8$  when DMAD with its greater steric demand is involved.

Such an analysis would suggest that cyclobutane should be even more reactive than cyclopentane. Although no information relating to the reaction of of cyclobutane with alkynes is currently available, a study**<sup>25</sup>** of the reaction of cyclic ethers with alkynes has shown that four- and five-membered cyclic ethers add to DMAD under direct radiation, whereas six- and seven-membered systems are unreactive. A different effect may however be involved here, as it has been suggested**<sup>29</sup>** that there is a correlation between reactivity and the torsion angle defined by the  $\alpha$ -hydrogens and the p-type non-bonded orbital on oxygen.

A useful extension of this photochemical functionalisation of cycloalkanes lies in the fact that the vinylcycloalkanes which are the primary photoproducts at >300 nm undergo a photochemical double bond migration at 254 nm. It has already been shown**<sup>30</sup>** that ethyl  $\beta$ -cyclopentyl- and  $\beta$ -cyclohexylpropenoates react to give  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ -unsaturated esters. In view of the ready availability of the appropriate propenoates from the cycloalkane–alkyne reactions discussed above we have been able to show that the reaction can be extended to other properoates including  $\beta$ -cycloheptyl and  $\beta$ cyclooctyl systems (Scheme 4).



Of particular interest in this regard is the observation that the double bond in dimethyl (*Z*)-2-cyclopentyl-2-butendioate **2y** undergoes a double migration, *via* the corresponding *E* isomer **3y**, forming initially the cyclopentylidene derivative **18** and ultimately the cyclopentene **19** (Scheme 5, Fig. 1).



**Fig. 1** The photodeconjugation reaction of dimethyl (*Z*)-cyclopentyl-2-butenedioate **2y** in the presence of triethylamine.



The results reported here demonstrate that the photomediated reaction of electron-deficient alkynes with cycloalkanes, particularly cyclopentane, is a genuine alternative to the other available methods for carbon–carbon bond formation with, or functionalisation of, such unactivated systems. The fact that the reactions can be carried out**<sup>31</sup>** using sunlight as a source of UV radiation, and with supported photomediators, further enhances the approach from the clean/green chemistry perspective.

# **Experimental**

All starting materials were distilled before use. The alkynes used, with the exception of those whose preparation is described below, were commercially available or were prepared by literature methods. The photochemical reactions between the cycloalkanes and the alkynes were carried out in cylindrical Pyrex tubes using a Rayonet Photochemical Reactor, RPR-100, equipped with sixteen 350 nm mercury lamps. IR spectra were measured on a Perkin– Elmer Spectrum 1 FT-IR. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR were measured on a Jeol JNM-LA400 spectrometer at probe temperatures using CDCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent and TMS as internal standard. GC analyses were carried out on an RTX-5 (Restek) column. GC–MS analyses were carried out on a Micromass GCT spectrometer coupled to an Agilent 6890 capillary gas chromatograph equipped with an HP5 column.

#### **2-Methoxyethyl propiolate 1g**

**1g** was prepared using a Mitsonobu reaction.**<sup>32</sup>** A solution of 2-methoxyethanol (1.62 g, 21.4 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (5.05 g, 19.2 mmol) in dry ether (25 ml) was added to a solution of propynoic acid (1.50 g, 21.4 mmol) and diethyl azodicarboxylate (3.50 g, 20 mmol), also in dry ether (15 ml). During the addition there was a slight temperature rise followed by the precipitation of a white solid. The mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt after which the solid was removed by filtration and the solvent by evaporation. The resulting pale yellow liquid was distilled and chromatographed on  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  (ether–petroleum ether) to give 1g as a colourless liquid (1.20 g, 42%): *v*<sub>max</sub>(film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3258, 2118, 1717; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 2.97 (1H, s, C≡CH), 3.40 (3H, s, OMe), 3.64 (2H, t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, C*H*2OMe), 4.28 (2H, t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, C(O)OCH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  153.0, 75.6, 74.6, 70.0, 65.3, 59.2. C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires: C, 56.24; H, 6.29%; found: C, 56.59; H, 6.47%.

#### **Cyclopentylmethyl propiolate 1h**

**1h** was prepared as described above for **1g** in 55% yield: *m*max(film)/cm−<sup>1</sup> 3262, 2119, 1714, 1230; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) *d* 1.61 (2H, m), 1.47–1.60 (4H, m), 1.67–1.72 (2H, m), 2.18 (1H, m, OCH2C*H*), 2.82 (1H, s, C≡CH), 4.02 (2H, d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, OCH2); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) *d* 152.9, 75.4, 74.4, 70.2, 38.2, 29.2, 25.1. C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>2</sub> requires: C, 71.03; H, 7.95%; found: C, 70.69; H, 7.58%.

#### **Methyl 4-cyclopentyl-2-butynoate 1w**

A solution of *n*-BuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 9.0 ml, 2.5 mmol) was added, under  $N_2$ , to a cold (−20  $\degree$ C) stirred solution of diisopropylamine (0.10 g, 1.0 mmol) and propynoic acid (0.70 g,

10.0 mmol) in dry THF. After 10 min HMPA (15 ml) was added slowly and the solution was stirred for 15 min at −20 *◦*C and at −10 *◦*C for a further 1.5 h. Cyclopentymethyl bromide**<sup>33</sup>** (1.71 g, 10.5 mmol) was then added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. After this period methyl iodide (5.7 g, 40 mmol) was added and stirring was continued at room temperature for a further 24 h. The mixture was then poured into ice-cold water, ether was added and the aqueous layer extracted with further ether. The combined organic extracts were washed with water and brine, and after drying  $(MgSO<sub>4</sub>)$  and filtering the solvent was evaporated to give a brown oil (0.9 g). Chromatography on silica (4% ether in petroleum ether) gave **1w** (0.36 g, 21%) as a clear oil: *v*<sub>max</sub>(film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 2236, 1716, 1255; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 1.20–1.30 (2H, m), 1.50–1.68 (4H, m), 1.80 (2H, m), 2.08 (1H, m, CH), 2.31 (2H, d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, C≡CCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.73 (3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 154.3, 89.4, 72.8, 52.5, 38.0, 32.0, 25.0, 24.3. C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>2</sub> requires: C, 72.26; H, 8.49%; found: C, 72.38; H, 8.15%.

# **Methyl 5-cyclohexyl-2-pentynoate 1x**

**1x** was prepared as described above for **1w** in 39% yield: *v*<sub>max</sub>(film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 2237, 1716, 1252; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *d* 0.89–0.90 (2H, m), 1.10–1.30 (2H, m), 1.35 (1H, m, CH), 1.47  $(2H, q, J = 7.3 \text{ Hz}, \text{C} \equiv \text{CCH}_2CH_2$ ), 1.63–1.71 (6H, m), 2.34 (2H, t,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, C≡CCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.76 (3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) *d* 154.2, 90.1, 72.6, 52.5, 36.6, 34.8, 32.6, 26.4, 26.0, 16.0.  $C_{12}H_{18}O_2$  requires: C, 74.19; H, 9.34%; found: C, 74.07; H, 9.34%.

# **General procedure for photochemical reactions using benzophenone as photomediator**

A solution of benzophenone (0.08 g, 0.43 mmol), and when required dodecane (0.37 g, 2 mmol), in cycloalkane (40 ml) was degassed with  $N_2$  for 20 min. The alkyne (3 mmol) was added and the stirred solution was irradiated until all the alkyne had been consumed (GC). The crude product mixture contained the *cis* and *trans* alkene products, and also trace amounts of the cycloalkanol and cycloalkanone (GC–MS) corresponding to the cycloalkane used. Subsequent to the removal of excess solvent the products (Tables 1 and 4) were isolated by chromatography on silica (50 g) using 5% ether–petroleum ether as eluant. All products were obtained as clear sweet-smelling oils and were characterised in the ususal way on the basis of IR and analytical data (Table 5), and  ${}^{1}$ H and  ${}^{13}$ C NMR spectroscopy (Tables 6 and 7).

**Isolation of (2***E***,4***E***)-4-(cyclohexylmethylene)-2-pentenedinitrile 5 and (2***E***,4***Z***)-4-(cyclohexylmethylene)-2-pentenedinitrile 6.** A solution of benzophenone (0.08 g, 0.43 mmol) in cyclohexane (20 ml) was degassed with  $N_2$  for 20 min. Propionitrile (0.43 g, 8.4 mmol) was added and the solution was irradiated for 31 h, at which point product formation had ceased (GC). The crude product contained the expected alkenes **7n** and **8n** (GC, 1 : 1.4) and a small amount of benzpinacol (<sup>1</sup>H NMR). Chromatography on silica (40 g, 0–20% ether in petroleum ether) initially gave fractions containing mixtures of **7n**, **8n** and benzophenone. Further elution gave **5** (0.01 g) and **6** (0.02 g). **5**:  $v_{\text{max}}$ (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 2222, 1622, 965; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 0.88–1.36 (5H, m), 1.58–1.78 (5H, m), 2.56 (1H, m, CHCH=C), 5.84 (1H, d,  $J_{trans} = 16.1$  Hz,

NCC*H*=CH), 6.57(1H, d, *J*vic = 10.7 Hz, CHC*H*=C), 7.22 (1H, d,  $J_{trans} = 16.1$  Hz, NCCH=C*H*), 3.76 (3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>); *m*/*z* (EI) 186 (M+, 7%), 185(5), 105(25), 103(9), 82(48), 67(100). HRMS (EI): calcd. for C12H14N2 186.1157, found: 186.1155. **6**: *v*<sub>max</sub>(film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 2222, 1622, 965; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 0.88–1.38 (5H, m), 1.58–1.77 (5H, m), 2.65 (1H, m, C*H*CH=C), 5.72 (1H, d,  $J_{trans}$  = 16.1 Hz, NCCH=CH), 6.53(1H, d,  $J_{vic}$  = 10.2 Hz, CHC*H*=C), 6.89 (1H, d, *J*trans = 16.1 Hz, NCCH=C*H*); *m*/*z* (EI) 186 (M+, 8%), 185(1), 105(27), 103(11), 82(50), 67(100). HRMS (EI): calcd. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>2</sub> 186.1157, found: 186.1173.

The reaction of propionitrile with cyclopentane under these conditions resulted in the formation of two major products, **2n** and **3n**, and traces of a third, GCMS analysis of which suggested it was a 4-(cyclopentylmethylene)-2-pentenedinitrile **4**: *m*/*z* (EI) 172 (M+, 12%), 171(19), 144(10), 130(9), 105(78), 103(30), 68(100), 67(14). HRMS (EI): calcd. for  $C_{11}H_{12}N_2$  172.1000, found: 172.0986.

## **Photodeconjugation reactions**

**Irradiation of isobutyl (***E***)-3-cyclopentyl-2-propenoate 2d.** Et<sub>3</sub>N (1 drop) was added to a degassed solution of  $2d$  (0.16 g, 0.08 mmol) in cyclopentane in a quartz tube and this was then irradiated in a Rayonet reactor using 254 nm lamps. The reaction was monitored by GC, which indicated the formation of two products. One of these, which was identified (GC) as isobutyl (*Z*)-3-cyclopentyl-2-propenoate **3d**, disappeared as the reaction proceeded. Irradiation was discontinued after 10 h when all of the **2d** had reacted. Elution of the crude product from silica (20 g) with ether–petroleum ether gave isobutyl 3 cyclopentylidenepropanoate **13** (0.1 g, 59%) as a colourless liquid: *v*<sub>max</sub>(film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1735; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 0.93 (6H, d,  $J = 6.6$  Hz,  $Me$ <sub>2</sub>CH), 1.60–1.75 (4H, m), 1.93 (1H, m, Me<sub>2</sub>CH), 2.20 (2H, t,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C=), 2.25 (2H, t,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C=), 3.00 (2H, d,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 3.80 (2H, d,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.40 (1H, t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, CH=C); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) *d* 167.8, 142.6, 106.6, 65.9, 30.5, 28.7, 24.1, 23.0, 21.5, 14.3.  $C_{12}H_{20}O_2$  requires: C, 73.43; H, 10.27%; found: C, 73.20; H, 9.96%.

**Irradiation of 2-methylbutyl (***Z***)-3-cyclohexyl-2-propenoate 3e.** Irradiation of **3e** as indicated above for 18.5 h resulted in the formation of 2-methylbutyl 3-cyclohexylidenepropanoate **14** (53%), which was obtained as a colourless liquid:  $v_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/cm^{-1}$ 1738; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.81 (3H, t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, *Me*CH2), 0.85 (3H, d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, *Me*CH), 1.07–2.04 (13H, m), 2.98 (2H, d,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 3.79 and 3.88 (2H, dds,  $J_{\text{vic}} =$ 6.5,  $J_{\text{gem}} = 10.6 \text{ Hz}, \text{OCH}_2$ ), 5.18 (1H, t,  $J = 7.3 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH=C}$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 173.4, 142.9, 112.4, 69.1, 36.8, 34.0, 32.9, 28.8, 28.3, 27.4, 26.6, 25.9, 16.2, 11.1. C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>2</sub> requires: C, 75.00; H, 10.71%; found: C, 74.73; H, 10.49%.

**Irradiation of benzyl (***Z***)-3-cyclopentyl-2-propenoate 3f.** In the same way the irradiation of **3f** for 5.5 h resulted in the formation of benzyl 3-cyclopentylidenepropanoate **15** (70%), which was obtained as a colourless liquid: *v*<sub>max</sub>(film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1734, 736, 696; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 1.45–1.80 (4H, m), 2.20 (2H, t,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C=), 2.25 (2H, t,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C=), 3.00 (2H, d,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 5.04 (2H, s, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.36 (1H,

## **Table 5** IR and analytical data



*<sup>a</sup>* Obtained as a mixture with its stereoisomer. *<sup>b</sup>* EI. *<sup>c</sup>* Obtained as a mixture with its stereoisomer and the corresponding acids. *<sup>d</sup>* For mixtutre of stereoisomers.  $^e$  CI, M<sup>+</sup> + H.

#### **Table 6** NMR data for photoproducts obtained from cyclopentane







t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, C*H*=C), 7.20–7.40 (5H; m); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) *d* 172.3, 147.5, 136.0, 128.5, 128.3, 128.1, 111.1, 66.2, 35.0, 33.6, 36.3. C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>2</sub> requires: C, 78.23; H, 7.88%; found: C, 78.32; H, 8.05%.

**Irradiation of methyl (***Z***)-3-cycloheptyl-2-propenoate 10a.** Irradiation of **10a** in the standard way for 5 h resulted in the formation of methyl 3-cycloheptylidenepropanoate **16** (70%), which was obtained as a colourless liquid: *v*<sub>max</sub>(film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1741; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) *d* 1.49–1.57 (8H, m), 2.21–2.36 (4H, m,  $(H,C)C=CH$ )), 3.03 (2H, d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 3.60 (3H, s, OCH3), 5.33 (1H, t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, CH=C); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) *d* 173.0, 145.0, 116.0, 52.2, 37.6, 33.2, 30.2, 29.0, 26.0.  $C_{11}H_{18}O_2$  requires: C, 72.49; H, 9.95%; found: C, 72.22; H, 10.27%.

**Irradiation of methyl (***Z***)-3-cyclooctyl-2-propenoate 12a.** Irradiation of **12a** as described above for 6.5 h resulted in the formation of methyl 3-cyclooctylidenepropanoate **17** (67%), which was obtained as a colourless liquid: *v*<sub>max</sub>(film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1742; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDC1}_3)$   $\delta$  1.43–1.54 (6H, m), 1.56–1.68 (6H, m), 2.14– 2.23 (4H, m,  $(H_2C)C=CH$ ), 3.07 (2H, d,  $J = 7.0$  Hz,  $CH_2CO$ ), 3.68 (3H, s, OCH3), 5.36 (1H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, CH=C); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) *d* 173.0, 145.1, 116.0, 51.6, 37.5, 33.4, 29.0, 27.2, 26.9, 26.1, 25.9, 25.8. C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>2</sub> requires: C, 73.43; H, 10.27%; found: C, 73.34; H, 10.19%.

**Irradiation of dimethyl (***Z***)-2-cyclopentyl-2-butendioate 2y.** A solution of **2y** (0.22 g, 1.00 mmol) in cyclopentane (20 ml) containing  $3$  drops of NEt<sub>3</sub> was irradiated in a quartz tubes using 254 nm lamps for 25 h. At this point no **2y** remained and two products had formed in a 1 : 1 ratio. The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel (ether–petroleum ether, 0– 20%), the first product eluting being dimethyl 2-cyclopent-1-en-1-ylsuccinate **19** (0.07 g, 30%):  $v_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$  1738; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) *d* 1.88 (2H, m), 2.26–2.34 (4H, m), 2.55 (1H, dd, *Jgem* = 16.5, *Jvic* = 5.5 Hz, *H*CHCO), 2.94 (1H, dd, *Jgem* = 16.5, *Jvic* = 9.8 Hz, HC*H*CO), 3.65 (1H, m, CHCO), 3.68 (3H, s, OCH3), 3.71 (3H, s, OCH3), 5.55 (1H, broad s, CH=C); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  173.2, 172.3, 139.6, 127.8, 52.7, 51.2, 43.2, 35.0, 33.3, 32.4, 22.9. C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>4</sub> requires: C, 62.25; H, 7.60%; found: C, 62.36; H, 7.81%. Further elution gave dimethyl 2 cyclopentylidenesuccinate **18** (0,06 g, 26%):  $v_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$  1740, 1719; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 1.67–1.76 (4H, m), 2.40 (2H, t,  $J = 7.0$  Hz,  $CH_2C=C$ , 2.82 (2H, t,  $J = 7.0$  Hz,  $CH_2C=C$ ), 3.35 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 3.68 (3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.72 (3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 171.9, 167.5, 165.3, 116.6, 51.8, 51.4, 35.8, 34.5, 34.1, 26.9, 25.5. C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>4</sub> requires: C, 62.25; H, 7.60%; found: C, 62.23; H, 7.74%.

## **Acknowledgements**

The funding provided to R.A.D. and J.J.H. by Enterprise Ireland, Donegal County Council, Limerick Corporation and the IHP programme of EU-DGXII is gratefully acknowledged.

## **References**

1 (*a*) P. A. MacFaul, I. W. C. E. Arends, K. U. Ingold and D. D. M. Wagner, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, 1997, 135–145, and references

therein; (*b*) A. F. Shilov and G. B. Shul'pin, *Chem. Rev.*, 1997, **97**, 2879–2932; (*c*) G. Dyker, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1999, **38**, 1698–1712; (*d*) W. D. Jones, in *Topics in Current Chemistry, vol. 3: Activation of Unactivated Bonds and Organic Synthesis*, ed. S. Murai, Springer-Verlag, 1999, pp. 9–46; F. Kakiuchi and S. Murai, in *Topics in Current Chemistry, vol. 3: Activation of Unactivated Bonds and Organic Synthesis*, ed. S. Murai, Springer-Verlag, 1999, pp. 47–79.

- 2 D. P. Curran, *Synthesis*, 1988, 417–439.
- 3 P. Dowd and W. Zhang, *Chem. Rev.*, 1993, **93**, 2091–2115.
- 4 H. Suginome, in *CRC Handbook of Organic Photochemistry and Photobiology* (2nd edn), ed. W. Horspool and F. Lenci, CRC Press LLC, Florida, 2003, pp. 102/1–102/16.
- 5 G. Pattenden, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1988, **17**, 361–382.
- 6 M. Ramaiah, *Tetrahedron*, 1987, **43**, 3541–3676.
- 7 B. Giese, P. Erdmann, T. Gobel and R. Springer, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1992, **33**, 4545–4548.
- 8 A. F. Parsons, *An Introduction to Free Radical Chemistry*, Blackwell Science, Oxford, 2000.
- 9 (*a*) J. E. Baldwin and D. R. Kelly, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1985, 682–684; (*b*) G. A. Russell and P. Ngoviwatchai, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1985, **26**, 4975–4978.
- 10 G. E. Keck, E. J. Enholm, J. B. Yates and M. R. Wiley, *Tetrahedron*, 1985, **41**, 4079–4094.
- 11 (*a*) G. A. Russell, H. Tashtoush and P. Ngoviwatchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1984, **106**, 4622–4623; (*b*) G. A. Russell and P. Ngoviwatchai, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1986, **27**, 3479–3482.
- 12 (*a*) G. E. Keck and J. H. Byers, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1985, **50**, 5442–5444; (*b*) G. A. Russell, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1989, **22**, 1–8; (*c*) G. A. Russell, P. Ngoviwatchi and Y. W. Wu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 4921– 4927; (*d*) C. C. Huval and D. A. Singleton, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, **34**, 3041–3042.
- 13 (*a*) J. Gong and P. L. Fuchs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 4486– 4487; (*b*) J. Xiang and P. L. Fuchs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 11986–11987; (*c*) J. Xiang, W. Jiang, J. Gong and P. L. Fuchs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 4123–4129; (*d*) J. Xiang, W. Jiang and P. L. Fuchs, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 6635–6638; (*e*) J. Xiang, J. Evarts, A. Rivkin, D. P. Curran and P. L. Fuchs, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 4163–4166.
- 14 S. Kim, N. Kim, W. Chung and C. H. Cho, *Synlett*, 2001, 937– 940.
- 15 (*a*) A. Horowitz and L. A. Rajbenback, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, **91**, 4626–4631; (*b*) M. Zupan, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1978, **43**, 2297–2299; (*c*) P. J. Wagner, R. J. Truman and J. C. Scaiano, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 7093–7097; (*d*) S. H. Brown and R. H. Crabtree, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 2935–2946; (*e*) J. A. Balan and B. P. Roberts, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, 1981, 161–166; (*f*) Ref. 12*a*.
- 16 C. Walling and M. J. Gibian, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1965, **87**, 3361–3364.
- 17 (*a*) Ref. 15*e*; (*b*) B. Giese and S. Lachhein, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1982, **21**, 768–775.
- 18 C. Manfrotto, M. Mella, M. Freccero, M. Fagnoni and A. Albini, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, **64**, 5024–5028; R. Mosca, M. Fagnoni, M. Mella and A. Albini, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, **57**, 10319–10328.
- 19 D. Dondi, I. Caprioli, M. Fagnoni, M. Mella and A. Albini, *Tetrahedron*, 2003, **59**, 947–957.
- 20 A. M. Cardarelli, M. Fagnoni, M. Mella and A. Albini, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2001, **66**, 7320–7327.
- 21 A. M. Gonzalez-Cameno, M. Mella, M. Fagnoni and A. Albini, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, **65**, 297–303.
- 22 G. Buchi and S. H. Feairheller, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1969, **34**, 609– 612.
- 23 E. Grovenstein, T. C. Campbell and T. Shibata, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1969, **34**, 2418–2428.
- 24 P. Singh, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1972, **37**, 836–841.
- 25 G. Ahlgren, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1973, **38**, 1369–1374.
- 26 D. K. Wedegaertner, R. M. Kopchik and J. A. Kampmeir, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1971, **93**, 6890–6895, and references therein.
- 27 J. G. Traynham and Y.-S. Lee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1974, **96**, 3590– 3594.
- 28 E. L. Eliel and S. H. Wilen, *Stereochemistry of Organic Compounds*, Wiley, New York, 1993, p. 679.
- 29 V. Malatesta and K. U. Ingold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1981, **103**, 609– 614.
- 30 P. S. Engel and A. M. Culotta, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 2686– 2696.
- 31 R. A. Doohan and N. W. A. Geraghty, *Green Chem.*, 2005, **7**, 91–96.
- 32 A. Padwa and G. S. K. Wong, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1986, **51**, 3125–3133.
- 33 C. L. Jenkins and J. K. Kochi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1971, **36**, 3103–3111.
- 34 R. D. Hubbard and B. L. Miller, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1998, **63**, 4143– 4146.
- 35 H. Bhandal, A. R. Howell, V. F. Patel and G. Pattenden, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1990, 2709–2714.
- 36 R. Roth and H. Erlenmeyer, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1955, **63**, 1276– 1279.
- 37 H. Alper, B. Despeyroux and J. B. Woell, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1983, **24**, 5691–5694.
- 38 R. C. Larock, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1975, **40**, 3237–3242.
- 39 V. J. Patil and U. Mavers, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 1281–1284.
- 40 G. Zweifel, J. T. Snow and C. C. Whiting, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 7139–7141.
- 41 Y. Sato and Y. Niinomi, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1982, 56–57.
- 42 K. Itoh, M. Kori, Y. Inada, K. Nishihara, Y. Kawamatsu and H. Sugihara, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1986, **34**, 1128–1147.